



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,471	04/22/2004	Katsunori Sato	Q81267	6413	
75	590 04/29/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			GORDON, RAEANN		
	C 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3711		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/20/200	DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- Mu			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/829,471	SATO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Raeann Gorden	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) owill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	pril 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	ı .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1	I.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) Noné of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ation No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been recei	ved in this National Sta	ge			
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
Attachment(s)			•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-9-04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/829,471

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 8-11, applicant appears to redefine the dimples. See claim 1 wherein the term "numerous dimples" refers to all the dimples. The "dimples" in claims 8-11 appear to refer to additional dimples not included the "numerous dimples". Claim 9, all edge elements are limited to a circularly arcuate shape in the previous claim. Reciting dimples made from "circularly arcuate" and "rectilinear edges" is confusing and redundant. Claim 10 contradicts the figures, the curvilinear are combined to form "circular" dimples and not "non-circular" dimples.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ogg (6,290,615). Regarding claim 1, Ogg discloses a golf ball comprising a dimples

Art Unit: 3711

formed with a plurality of tubular projections (app's edges). The dimples as viewed from above are non-circular. Regarding claim 2, the tubular projections are identical in size and shape. Regarding claim 3, the cross sections of the projections are circular (fig 5). Regarding claim 4, the cross section of the projection has a radius of from 0.699 to 0.899 inch (col. 6, line 30). Regarding claim 5, the height of the projections is 0.1778 inch (col. 6, line 6).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,471

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg April 26, 2005

RAEANN GORDEN
DRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4